

### County of Los Angeles CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICE

713 KENNETH HAHN HALL OF ADMINISTRATION LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90012 (213) 974-1101 http://ceo.lacounty.gov

August 21, 2007

Board of Supervisors GLORIA MOLINA First District

YVONNE B. BURKE Second District

ZEV YAROSLAVSKY

DON KNABE Fourth District

MICHAEL D. ANTONOVICH
Fifth District

The Honorable Board of Supervisors County of Los Angeles 383 Kenneth Hahn Hall of Administration 500 West Temple Street Los Angeles, CA 90012

Dear Supervisors:

# DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS: TRAFFIC SIGNAL CONTROL SYSTEM CITY OF SOUTH GATE-COUNTY COOPERATIVE AGREEMENT (SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICT 1) (4 VOTES)

#### IT IS RECOMMENDED THAT YOUR BOARD:

- 1. Find that this project is categorically exempt from the provisions of the California Environmental Quality Act.
- 2. Adopt the resolution to find that the project to procure the traffic control system in the City of South Gate is of general County interest and that County aid in the amount of \$459,600 should be extended to the City.
- 3. Approve and authorize the Director of Public Works or his designee to sign the Cooperative Agreement between the City of South Gate and the County of Los Angeles, which provides for the City to perform procurement services, installation, integration, contract administration, and all other work necessary to purchase an upgrade of the existing Traffic Control System and related equipment within the City of South Gate. The agreement provides for the County to provide a not-to-exceed amount of \$459,600 toward the cost of the project by utilizing Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority's Grant Funds and matching County funds.

The Honorable Board of Supervisors August 21, 2007 Page 2

#### PURPOSE/JUSTIFICATION OF RECOMMENDED ACTION

The purpose of this recommended action is to execute an agreement with the City to provide funds for the procurement of a traffic signal control system.

#### Implementation of Strategic Plan Goals

The Countywide Strategic Plan directs that we provide Service Excellence (Goal 1) and Community Services (Goal 6). The project will provide improved infrastructure and will enhance the quality of life in the affected communities.

#### FISCAL IMPACT/FINANCING

There will be no impact to the County General Fund. The cost of the project is estimated to be \$459,600 and is included in the Fiscal Year 2007-08 Proposition C Local Return Fund budget.

The Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority will reimburse \$399,483 of the project cost from the 1995 Call for Projects Proposition C Discretionary Grant Funds for the Gateway Cities Traffic Signal Forum. The remaining \$60,117 will be funded by the County's Proposition C Local Return revenue.

#### FACTS AND PROVISIONS/LEGAL REQUIREMENTS

The attached agreement, which has been approved as to form by County Counsel, provides for the City to perform procurement services, installation, integration, contract administration, and all other work necessary to purchase a traffic signal control system software and related equipment within the City of South Gate. The agreement establishes the County contribution to the City for actual expenditures not to exceed \$459,600 toward the cost of the project by utilizing the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority Grant Funds and other County funds. This agreement is authorized and provided for by the provisions of Sections 1680-1684 of the California Streets and Highways Code.

#### **ENVIRONMENTAL DOCUMENTATION**

This project is categorically exempt from the provisions of the California Environmental Quality Act pursuant to Class 1(x), Subsections 4, 5, and 14 of the County Environmental Reporting Procedures and Guidelines adopted by your Board on November 17, 1987 and Section 15301(c) of the California Environmental Quality Act guidelines.

The Honorable Board of Supervisors August 21, 2007 Page 3

#### **IMPACT ON CURRENT SERVICES (OR PROJECTS)**

There will be no impact on current County services or projects during the performance of the recommended services, including implementation of the proposed system.

#### CONCLUSION

Please return one adopted copy of this letter and the signed resolution to the Department of Public Works, Traffic and Lighting Division.

Respectfully submitted,

WILLIAM T FUJIOKA Chief Executive Officer

WTF:DLW WJW:pc

Attachments (2)

c: County Counsel

## RESOLUTION OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES TO EXTEND COUNTY AID TO THE CITY OF SOUTH GATE FOR THE PURPOSE OF INSTALLING A TRAFFIC SIGNAL CONTROL SYSTEM AND ASSOCIATED COMMUNICATIONS EQUIPMENT

WHEREAS, CITY desires to procure a Traffic Signal Control System, which work is hereinafter referred to as PROJECT; and

WHEREAS, the procurement of Traffic Signal Control System Software is consistent with the scope of work for traffic improvements within CITY pursuant to Memorandum of Understanding Number P002316D(495-246-3-92-95) between COUNTY and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority (MTA); and

WHEREAS, PROJECT is to be utilized entirely within the jurisdictional limits of CITY: and

WHEREAS, PROJECT will be installed along the following arterials: Long Beach Boulevard, Tweedy Boulevard, Firestone Boulevard, Garfield Avenue, and Imperial Highway.

WHEREAS, PROJECT is of general interest to CITY and COUNTY; and

WHEREAS, CITY is willing to administer the procurement of PROJECT; and

WHEREAS, the COST OF PROJECT includes the costs of the procurement administration and the Traffic Signal Control System Software and Hardware, and system installation, integration and acceptance testing, and all work and materials necessary to complete PROJECT in accordance with the approved conceptual plan, as more fully set forth herein; and

WHEREAS, the total COST OF PROJECT is currently estimated to be Four Hundred Fifty-Nine Thousand Six Hundred and 00/100 Dollars (\$459,600); and

WHEREAS, COUNTY has secured and obtained grant funds from the MTA up to a maximum of Three Hundred Ninety-Nine Thousand Four Hundred Eighty-Four and 00/100 Dollars (\$399,484) and will provide matching funds up to a maximum of Sixty Thousand One Hundred Sixteen and 00/100 Dollars (\$60,116) to finance the COST OF PROJECT; and

WHEREAS, COUNTY is willing to utilize the MTA grant funds and COUNTY matching funds to reimburse CITY for actual expenditures not to exceed Four Hundred Fifty-Nine Thousand Six Hundred and 00/100 Dollars (\$459,600) toward the COST OF PROJECT; and

WHEREAS, CITY is willing to finance the remaining COST OF PROJECT in excess of COUNTY's maximum contribution of Four Hundred Fifty-Nine Thousand Six Hundred and 00/100 Dollars (\$459,600); and

WHEREAS, such a proposal is authorized and provided for by provisions of Sections 1680-1684 of the California Streets and Highways Code.

NOW, THEREFORE, in consideration of the mutual benefits to be derived by CITY and COUNTY and of the promises herein contained, it is hereby agreed as follows:

- <u>Section 1.</u> The PROJECT is of general County interest and County aid shall be extended therefor.
- <u>Section 2.</u> Subject to the terms and conditions set forth herein, the County of Los Angeles consents, pursuant to the provisions of Sections 1680-1684 of the California Streets and Highways Code, to extend County aid in the amount of \$459,600 to the City of South Gate for the installation of a traffic signal control system.
- <u>Section 3.</u> The financial obligations of the County of Los Angeles are expressly conditioned upon obtaining reimbursement from the MTA pursuant to Memorandum of Understanding Number P002316D(495-246-3-92-95) between COUNTY and the MTA.
- <u>Section 4.</u> In any provision of this resolution is held or declared to be invalid, the resolution shall be void and the consent granted hereunder shall lapse.

The foregoing Resolution was on the 21st day of 000, 2007 adopted by the Board of Supervisors of the Los Angeles and ex officio the governing body of all other special assessment and taxing districts for which said Board so acts.



SACHI A. HAMAI Executive Officer of the Board of Supervisors of the County of Los Angeles

By Supris Q. Villalohos
Deputy

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

RAYMOND G. FORTNER, JR. County Counsel

Deputy

P:\tlpub\WPFILES\FILES\TRA\SELAC\I-105\Co-Op Agreements\South Gate\South Gate Resolution.doc

#### **AGREEMENT**

THIS AGREEMENT, made and entered into this \_\_\_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_, 2007, by and between the CITY OF SOUTH GATE, a municipal corporation in the County of Los Angeles, hereinafter referred to as CITY, and the COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, a political subdivision of the State of California, hereinafter referred to as COUNTY:

#### WITNESSETH

WHEREAS, CITY desires to procure Traffic Signal Control System Software, hereinafter referred to as PROJECT, according to the scope of work as described in the proposal issued by Econolite and attached hereto as Attachment A of this AGREEMENT (the Econolite Proposa);

WHEREAS, the procurement of Traffic Signal Control System software is consistent with the scope of work for traffic improvements within CITY pursuant to Memorandum of Understanding Number 495-246-3-92-95 between COUNTY and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority (MTA); and

WHEREAS, CITY is willing to administer the procurement of PROJECT; and

WHEREAS, PROJECT is to be utilized entirely within the jurisdictional limits of CITY; and

WHEREAS, PROJECT will be installed along the following arterials: Long Beach Boulevard, Tweedy Boulevard, Firestone Boulevard, Garfield Avenue, and Imperial Highway; and

WHEREAS, the COST OF PROJECT includes the costs of the procurement of the Traffic Signal Control System Software and Hardware, and system installation, integration and acceptance testing, and all other work and materials necessary to complete PROJECT in accordance with the approved conceptual plan, as more fully set forth herein; and

WHEREAS, COUNTY is willing to utilize the MTA grant funds and COUNTY matching funds to reimburse CITY for actual expenditures not to exceed Four Hundred Fifty-Nine Thousand Six Hundred and 00/100 Dollars (\$459,600) toward the COST OF PROJECT; and

WHEREAS, CITY is willing to finance the remaining COST OF PROJECT in excess of COUNTY's maximum contribution of Four Hundred Fifty-Nine Thousand Six Hundred and 00/100 Dollars (\$459,600); and

WHEREAS, such a proposal is authorized and provided for by the provisions of Sections 1680-1684 of the California Streets and Highways Code.

NOW, THEREFORE, in consideration of the mutual benefits to be derived by CITY and COUNTY and of the promises herein contained, it is hereby agreed as follows:

#### (1) CITY AGREES:

- a. To administer the procurement contract for PROJECT.
- b. To finance the remaining COST OF PROJECT that is in excess of COUNTY'S maximum contribution of Four Hundred Fifty-Nine Thousand Six Hundred and 00/100 Dollars (\$459,600).
- c. To prepare any necessary environmental document, if needed, and/or make any required environmental finding in compliance with the California Environmental Quality Act.
- d. If needed, to advertise PROJECT for bids, to inform COUNTY of the content of the bids received, to award the contract after approval by COUNTY, and to administer the procurement contract.
- e. To furnish COUNTY with information on all contract change orders, if any, for PROJECT and obtain COUNTY'S prior approval of all such contract change orders, subject to paragraph (2) c., below, and subject to the understanding that the COUNTY's failure to deliver written response to the CITY within three (3) business days after the COUNTY's receipt of the CITY's request for approval shall be deemed to be the COUNTY's approval of the request.
- f. To administer the procurement and deployment of PROJECT in accordance with all regulations and requirements of MTA relating to the expenditure of Proposition C Local Return funds and Proposition C twenty-five percent (25%) Discretionary funds, including Los Angeles County Transportation Commission Ordinance Nos. 16 and 49, codified at Chapters 3-05 and 3-10, respectively, of the MTA's Administrative Code. CITY'S records for PROJECT shall be open to inspection and subject to audit and reproduction by the COUNTY and MTA, or any of their duly authorized representatives, and shall be retained by the CITY for a period of not less than seven (7) years after final payment to contractor(s) for PROJECT.

- g. To ensure that COUNTY and all officers and employees of COUNTY are named as additional insured parties under Contractor's General Liability and automobile insurance policies for any vendor(s) utilized by CITY for procurement of PROJECT.
- h. To furnish COUNTY, within one hundred twenty (120) calendar days after final acceptance of PROJECT, a final accounting of the actual total PROJECT costs, including an itemization of actual unit costs and actual contract quantities; and all labor, equipment, material, consultant services, indirect, and miscellaneous costs; and other administrative and overhead costs required for CITY'S performance as specified in paragraph (1) a., above.
- i. To submit an invoice to COUNTY in the amount of Four Hundred Fifty-Nine Thousand Six Hundred and 00/100 Dollars (\$459,600) within 30 days of the adoption of this agreement by COUNTY, subject to paragraph (3) g., below.
- j. Upon completion of PROJECT, to accept full and complete ownership of PROJECT, and responsibility for PROJECT, and to operate and maintain in good condition and at CITY expense all parts of PROJECT within CITY'S jurisdiction, including maintaining timing of traffic signals. As used herein, the phrase completion of PROJECT means the date on which the PROJECT is completely installed and fully operational. At no time shall the County own PROJECT or have responsibility for maintaining or operating PROJECT.

#### (2) COUNTY AGREES:

- a. To secure and obtain MTA grant funds to be used to finance toward COST OF PROJECT.
- b. To deposit with CITY, following execution of this AGREEMENT and upon demand by CITY, sufficient COUNTY funds to finance COUNTY'S share of PROJECT by utilizing MTA grant funds and COUNTY matching funds up to a maximum amount of Four Hundred Fifty-Nine Thousand Six Hundred and 00/100 Dollars (\$459,600), and subject to paragraph (3) d., below. Said demand will consist of billing invoices prepared by CITY. The actual COST OF PROJECT is to be determined by a final accounting of PROJECT COSTS.
- c. To review proposals, the proposed award amount for PROJECT, and any change orders for PROJECT and provide written approval, or other response, within three (3) business days of presentation by CITY. COUNTY'S approval may only be withheld for good reason and in good faith. If COUNTY'S response is not received within said three (3) business days, CITY may proceed with PROJECT or change orders.

Following any such actual or deemed approval, COUNTY shall have no right to withdraw, limit or condition such approval.

- d. To authorize CITY to represent COUNTY in all negotiations pertaining to the advertisement of PROJECT for proposals, award, and administration of the contract, and in all things necessary and proper to complete PROJECT.
- e. To cooperate with CITY as necessary to enable CITY to produce an accurate and timely accounting as required under Section (1) h above, including without limitation providing CITY with written notice of the types of information to be included in that accounting sufficiently in advance to allow CITY to gather the information and produce the accounting by the required deadline date.

#### (3) IT IS MUTUALLY UNDERSTOOD AND AGREED AS FOLLOWS:

- a. COUNTY'S contribution shall only pay for those eligible items of work included in the MTA's Bus Speed Improvements Program guidelines.
- b. The COST OF PROJECT, as referred to in this AGREEMENT, shall consist of the costs of procurement, and the Traffic Signal Control Software and Hardware and system installation, inspection services, extended warranties of not less than four (4) years, integration and acceptance testing, and all other work and materials incidental or necessary to complete PROJECT in accordance with the approved conceptual plan and shall include currently effective percentages added to total salaries, wages, and equipment costs to cover overhead, administration, and depreciation in connection with any or all of the aforementioned items.
- c. The cost of procurement, as referred to in this AGREEMENT, shall consist of all necessary work prior to advertising of PROJECT for proposals and shall include currently effective percentages added to total salaries, wages, and equipment costs to cover overhead, administration, and depreciation in connection with any and all of the aforementioned items.
- d. If COST OF PROJECT, based upon the final accounting, is less than COUNTY'S payments, as set forth in paragraph (2) b., above, CITY shall refund the difference to COUNTY.
- e. During implementation of PROJECT, CITY shall furnish an inspector or other representative to perform the functions of an inspector. COUNTY may also furnish, at no cost to CITY, a Consultant or other representative to assist in the integration of PROJECT with CITY's existing traffic system.

- f. COUNTY shall have no obligation to inspect PROJECT and no liability shall be attributable to COUNTY as a result of COUNTY'S inspection or failure to inspect. Said inspectors shall cooperate and consult with each other, but the orders of CITY inspector to the contractor or any other person in charge of construction shall prevail and be final, and the CITY inspector shall be responsible for the proper inspection of PROJECT as needed.
- g. COUNTY shall not be liable for any costs for PROJECT that does not conform to the regulations and requirements of MTA, as referred to in paragraph (1) f., above.
- h. The financial obligations of COUNTY pursuant to this AGREEMENT are conditional upon COUNTY'S obtaining reimbursement from the MTA pursuant to Memorandum of Understanding Number 495-246-3-92-95 between COUNTY and the MTA.
- i. This AGREEMENT may be amended or modified only by mutual written consent of COUNTY and CITY.
- j. Any correspondence, communication, or contact concerning this AGREEMENT shall be directed to the following:

CITY:

Mr. Robert T. Dickey
Director of Public Works

City of South Gate 8650 California Avenue South gate, CA 90280-3059

Fax: (323) 563-9512

e-mail: rdickey@sogate.org

COUNTY:

Mr. Donald L. Wolfe
Director of Public Works
County of Los Angeles
Department of Public Works

P.O. Box 1460

Alhambra, CA 91802-1460

k. Neither COUNTY nor any officer or employee of COUNTY shall be responsible for any damage or liability occurring by reason of any act or omission on the part of CITY under or in connection with any work, authority, or jurisdiction delegated to, assumed by, or determined to be the responsibility of CITY under this AGREEMENT.

- It is also understood and agreed that, pursuant to Government Code, Section 895.4, CITY shall fully indemnify, defend, and hold COUNTY harmless from any liability imposed for injury (as defined by Government Code, Section 810.8) occurring by reason of any act or omission on the part of CITY under or in connection with any work, authority, or jurisdiction delegated to or determined to be the responsibility of CITY under this AGREEMENT. Where liability for injury (as defined by Government Code, Section 810.8) is sought to be imposed under Section 830, et seq., of the Government Code for a dangerous condition of property owned by or under the control of CITY, CITY shall fully defend, indemnify, and hold COUNTY harmless from any and all liability arising from such dangerous condition.
- m. Neither CITY nor any officer or employee of CITY shall be responsible for any damage or liability occurring by reason of any act or omission on the part of COUNTY under or in connection with any work, authority, or jurisdiction delegated to or determined to be the responsibility of COUNTY under this AGREEMENT. It is also understood and agreed that, pursuant to Government Code, Section 895.4, COUNTY shall fully indemnify, defend, and hold CITY harmless from any liability imposed for injury (as defined by Government Code, Section 810.8) occurring by reason of any act or omission on the part of COUNTY under or in connection with any work, authority, or jurisdiction delegated to or determined to be the responsibility of COUNTY under this AGREEMENT.
- n. It is understood and agreed that the provisions of Assumption of Liability Agreement No. 32375 between CITY and COUNTY, adopted by the Board of Supervisors on December 27, 1977, and currently in effect, are inapplicable to this AGREEMENT.

// II// //  $/\!\!/$ IIIIII// // // II// II// II

be executed by their respective officers	arties hereto have caused this AGREEMENT to, duly authorized, by the CITY OF SOUTH GATE OUNTY OF LOS ANGELES on
	COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES
	Ву
APPROVED AS TO FORM:	Director of Public Works
RAYMOND G. FORTNER, JR. County Counsel	
By Deputy	
CITY OF SOUTH GATE	
By Mayor	
ATTEST:	
ByCity Clerk	
City Clerk	
ByCity Attorney	

P:\tlpub\WPFILES\FILES\TRA\SELAC\I-105\Co-Op Agreements\South Gate\Board Package\South Gate TCSAgmt (revised 4-2-07)-TCS Only.DOC

### ATTACHMENT A

WORK DESCRIPTION	ESTIMATED COST
Servers, computers and auxiliary equipment	\$ 33,000
Controller upgrades	\$ 59,900
Communications Equipment for 40	\$127,200
Intersections and 4 CCTV Locations	
Installation and Integration (Including	\$100,500
Licenses)	
Synchro Module	\$ 20,000
CCTV Module	\$ 24,000
Sub-Total	\$364,600
Contingencies	\$ 45,000
Sub-Total	\$409,600
Four-Year System Maintenance	\$ 50,000
Grand Total	\$459,600

P:\ttpub\WPFiLES\FiLES\TRA\SELAC\I-105\Co-Op Agreements\South Gate\South Gate TCSAgmt Only-Attachment A.DOC